# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

· •

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,746	10/14/2003	Reid M. Rubsamen	AERX-080CIP2	6142
24353	7590 08/07/2006		EXAMINER	
BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP 1900 UNIVERSITY AVENUE SUITE 200			HAGHIGHATIAN, MINA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EAST PALO	EAST PALO ALTO, CA 94303		1616	
			DATE MAILED: 08/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/685,746	RUBSAMEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mina Haghighatian	1616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 N</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under N</li> </ol>	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters, p				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is c	see 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) \( \omega \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) \( \omega \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
<ul> <li>Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>05/31/06</u>.</li> </ul>		I Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/685,746

Art Unit: 1616

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 7-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7 is vague for containing the term "vasodilator comprises a composition".

Claim 7 depends on claim 19 which reads "A method .... A formulation comprising a vasodilator...". It is not clear how a formulation (or composition) comprises a composition. Also vasodilators are not considered compositions but rather active agents. The remaining claims are rejected for depending on a rejected base claim.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Butrous et al (EP 1 097 711).

Application/Control Number: 10/685,746 Page 3

Art Unit: 1616

Butrous et al teaches the use of certain cGMP PDE5 inhibitors, including in particular the compound sildenafil for the treatment of pulmonary hypertension (see abstract). Butrous also discloses that the International Patent application WO94/28902 the compound of sildenafil was found effective in treating male erectile dysfunction (see [0002]). It is also disclosed that the said compounds can be administered by inhalation. Inhaled formulations have advantages in delivering the active compound directly to the lung area, producing a faster effect than orally delivered formulations. The suitable particle size for the said aerosol is between 0.5 and 5 microns. The aerosol formulations are conveniently generated from a pressurized container, pump, spray or nebulizer with the use of a suitable propellant. For such delivery single-dose sprays, multi-dose metered nebulizers, inhalers or atomizers can be used (see [0023]).

Butrous also discloses that the said compounds can be administered together with other active agents such as nifedipine, diltiazem, ilprost, adenosine, nitric oxide, etc (see [0036]). The said formulations are said to be either in <u>solution</u> form (see [0025], [0035] and example 4) or in a micronised <u>powder</u> formulation and delivered by a **dry powder inhalation device** (see [0032] and example 3).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,746

Art Unit: 1616

Claims 1, 5, 7-13, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Butrous et al (EP 1 097 711) in view of Ellis et al (WO 9428902).

Butrous et al, discussed above, disclosed that WO 9428902 had taught treating male erectile dysfunction by cGMP PDE inhibitors such as sildenafil, but it did not teach the treatment.

WO 9428902 teaches use of compounds of cGMP PDE inhibitors such as sildenafil for treating erectile dysfunction in a male animal (see abstract, page 2, lines 10-18 and page 10, lines 19-23 and page 12, lines 1-4). The said formulations can be administered orally, sublingually or buccally (page 10, lines 32-35).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the two references to prepare aerosol formulations of cGMP PDEs such as sildenafil for treating erectile dysfunction in male patients because Butrous et al teaches inhibitory administration of sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension and Ellis et al teaches sildenafil for treating erectile dysfunction. Thus it is obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art to have prepared the inhalation formulations for treating erectile dysfunction as well as pulmonary hypertension. In other words the combination of references provides sufficient information and knowledge to one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the invention as claimed.

Claims 2-4 and 6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Butrous et al (EP 1 097 711) in view of Ellis et al (WO 9428902) as applied to claims 1, 5, 7-13 and 19 above, and further in view of Drug Information Handbook.

The combined references above, while disclose adding other agents to the aerosol formulations of sildenafil, lack specific disclosure on adding testosterone.

Drug Information Handbook discloses that testosterone is used for androgen replacement therapy in treating delayed male puberty (see Use). It is also disclosed that testosterone can cause penile erection (see Patient Information).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the teachings of the combined references on aerosol administration of compositions of sildenafil for treating erectile dysfunction with the disclosure of the Drug Information Handbook on use of testosterone for treating male hormone deficiencies and its effects on patients with reasonable expectations of successfully treating the said disorder by implementing a combined effect of two different active agents.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 1616

Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on 05/31/06 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS**MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 609.04(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mina Haghighatian whose telephone number is 571-272-0615. The examiner can normally be reached on core office hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,746 Page 7

**Art Unit: 1616** 

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mina Haghighatian July 31, 2006

Johann Richter, Ph.D. Esq.
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600